University of Vermont Department of English Course Evaluation Summary

Instructor: Martin, Paul

Course Number: ENGS 005 D

Title: TAP:Canada's Cultural Lndscpe

English

Dept. n = 1611 Individual n = 3 Semester: Fall 2009

Please rate this course on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent

11	0	1	2	3	4	5	No Response
Dept. %	0%	0%	3%	14%	42%	40%	1%
Indiv. %	0%	0%	0%	33%	0%	67%	0%

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent

1 102	0	1	2	3	4	5	No Response
Dept. %	0%	1%	1%	7%	30%	60%	1%
Indiv. %	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	67%	0%
	·						

	Year			College			Reason	
Year	Dept.	Indiv.	College	Dept.	Indiv.	Reason	Dept.	Indiv.
1	40%	100%	CAS	75%	100%	Maj/Min	33%	0%
2	28%	0%	CESS	7%	0%	College Req	43%	33%
3	17%	0%	CNHS	2%	0%	Interest	46%	67%
4	13%	0%	RSENR	2%	0%	Schedule	17%	0%
MA	1%	0%	CEMS	1%	0%	Other	6%	33%
CE	0%	0%	BSAD	7%	0%	No Response	3%	0%
Other	1%	0%	CALS	4%	0%			
No Response	2%	0%	CE	0%	0%			-
			No Response	3%	0%			

	·		

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: PM Course No. <u>ENG 005</u> Course Title: From PULKS to Parliament Semester: Fall 2009

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I think the course was uniquely challenging. We didn't morely write several hard papers, instead we had to work with a variety of mediums which was animable and challenging. enjoyable and challenging. The class readings were excellent and almost always relevant. The lectures discussions were the most important ass, that is where I learned the n

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and

availability outside class.)

I though Professor Martin was great. He has a great positive and approachable personality he brings to class every week. He cereainly is passimate about the subject and was great to work with, I think he got

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I think my writing was fairly strong overall. I turned some assignments in late but that meant I and made sure they were well done. I participated well in most every class; I thought it was very intersting

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	×0000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	× 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other Contributes to Howard that is beneficial for My Minor	०००००

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: <u>Paul</u> Martin Course No. Engloh 905 Course Title: Canadian Studies

Semester: Fall 2009

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

ARS Mess I consider this class to be my favorite of attention the fall semester.

My favorite of attention the fall semester.

Me read some great books such as three Day Road by Joseph Boyden and Three Day Road by Joseph Boyden and I also lowned the Truth about stories. Books I also lowned lots of matieral in Canadian History. One lots of matieral in Canadian History. One of the high points in the class was the class was the class with this course, it was challenging about and happy with this course, it was challenging about and fan at the same time.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Professor Martin is a very good tracher. He is available suggested the restraction and office hours. Although the riegs help and office hours. Although the riegs meets once a week he makes you feel like you don't get to lost with homework and other assignment, His teaching methods were excellent and I always adortified his lectures.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the

best efforts in this chass, I Same strings, and best efforts in this chass, I Same postings, af the bigger assignments included Blog postings, producests, a midterm and our final project, I AD all of which I I are did to the course.) best of my obility,

 \mathbf{O}

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

 \mathbf{O}

0 1 5 O

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 4 5 3
- О \mathbf{o} O \mathbf{o}

:

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	6	Arts and Sciences (CAS)	Ø	Major/minor	0
2	0	Education & Social Services (CESS)	0	College requirement	0
3	0	Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS)	0	Interest in subject matter	(3)
4	0	Natural Resources (RSENR)	0	Fits schedule	0
MA	0	Engineering & Math (CEMS)	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business (BSAD)	0		
Other	0	Agriculture & Life Science (CALS)	0	1	
		Continuing Edcation (CE)	0		
L	j	I.	1	I .	I

University of V	ermont
Department of	English

Instructor: Paul Markin
Course No. 005
Course Title: Pucks to Parliament.

Semester: Fall 2009

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The subject matter discussed in this class was always very interesting. The poems handed out, the novels, the videos watched, and everything else discussed was very interesting.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

The instructor was great. Professor was always ready for class and interacted well with students leading nice discussions.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

There was a fair amount of work for this class that was challenging yet completables

Please rate this course on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 0 0 0 0 0

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 0 0 0 0 0 0

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	• 0 0 0 0 0 0	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0 0 0 0 0