University of Vermont Department of English Course Evaluation Summary

Instructor: Martin

Course Number: ENGS 180 A

Title: Topics in Canadian Literature

English

Dept. n = 813 Individual n = 34 Semester: Fall 2010

Please rate this course on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent

				,	J	· •
)%	0%	1%	12%	33%	50%	4%
)%	6%	9%	18%	38%	24%	6%
				70 270	7,0	170 1270 2370

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent

0	1	2	3	4	5	No Response
0%	0%	1%	7%	20%	68%	4%
3%	9%	0%	9%	26%	47%	6%
				0,0	0,0	170 770 2070

Reason College Year Dept. Indiv. Reason Dept. Indiv. College Indiv. Year Dept. Maj/Min A&S 87% 71% 86% 79% 0% 0% College 21% 17% Education 7% 9% 2 16% 21% Req Interest 38% 44% 3 37% 44% Allied 0% 0% Health Schedule 19% 29% Nat. 3% 4 29% 1% 40% Resources Other 6% 6% MA 0% Math/Eng 0% 0% 1% No 4% 6% Business 3% CE 0% 1% 0% Response Ag & Life 0% 0% Other 1% 0% Sciences CE 0% 0% No 4% 6% Response No 4% 6% Response

^{*}in the fall semester of 2010 the SGA called for a boycott of evaluations and urged the student body to NOT fill in numerical portions of evaluations.

• .

University of \	/ermont
Department of	English

Instructor:		
Course No		
Course Title:		
Semester:	Fall	2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

THE COURSE WAS WELL-PUT TOGETHER. I LIKED THAT ALL OF THE WRITINGS WE READ WERE PROM THE LAST & YEARS, THIS IS THE MOST CONTEMPORARY/PRESENT CLASS I MUE TAKEN AT UUM THE MATERIAL COMBINED SMILAR THEMES IN A WAY THAT WAS RENEFICIAL TO THE STRUCTURE OF THE CLASS. I LIKED HAVING SLOG PROMPTS FOR HOMEWORM ASSIGNMENTS

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

PROFESSOR MARTIN WAS ENGAGED & ENTHUSIASTIC. HE HELD
THE CLASS ATTENZION FOR ALL 75 MINUTES, WHICH IS
BETTER THAN MOST TEACHERS DO IJ THAT AMT OF TIME
THE DISCUSSIONS WERE ENGAGING & GROUP WORK WAS OFTEN
AND HELPFUL

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I HAVE REPT UP WITH ALL OF THE READINGS 4 BLOG PROMPTS (SAUE ONE) AND I FEEL THAT I GOT A FAIR GRADE ON THE MOTERM PAPER FOR THE AMOUNT OF EFFORT I PUT

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

0, 0 0 0 6 0

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. Ergs 180
Course Title: Canadian Lit.
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The course was well structured it made it easy to relate all the novels and see a common theme for the course. The lectures and group work was always stimulating and relevant. The feedback given on written assignments helped me to improve my writing and structure for the next written assignment

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and

Paul was always well prepared for obss and willing to work with students. Obss and willing to work with students. Out the group discussions were always very open and he always welcomed questions and comments in and out of the clossroom. He also always answered emails promptly.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I enjoyed the work for this class and the blogs online to cover the material more in detail than could ' be covered in class. Sometimes the amount of reading was a challenge, but Paul uses always unterstanding.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	O	0

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	80000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	ത 0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paw Martin
Course No. Eng - 180 A
Course Title: Canadian Lit.
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

This course was challenging. We had an interse and rigourous reading schedule that gave us a breadth of knowledge on recent Canadian literature. We also were made to think critically and analyze what we read. Professor martin improved my writing ability by being marsh when critiquing my work, but also in teaching me how to improve and givingme confidence.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Professionate about the subject becomes were interesting, he made us feel comfortable in and out of class talking to him. He was very available outside of class for help.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I worked hard in this Class, in reading, writing and discussions.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	•	•

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	.

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. 180
Course Title: Canadian Literature
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I believe the Course was very fair. Maybe for 2 less books would have been extremely helpful in trying to fully understand each. Overall I give the Class an 8 out of 10

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

He was a great instructor, he is very enthusiashe on the subsers as well as knowledgable, the is very easy to communicate with and explains the material in depth

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I would say my work was well done, I found it hard at times because I am not an English major and English is not my strong area.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0 0 0 0 0 0	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0 0 0 0 0

University of	of V	⁷ ermont
Department	t of	English

Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. FNOS 180
Course Title: Cavadran 1st (Topics)
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Overall, a great course, It was a pleasant change to read literalise from a fough country.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Professor Martin is a superfit toocher. Frumy, highly enthusiste I happy to help students, in or out of class I willing to accompate the needs of the class.

Always prepared, asks questions, sports comer sations about the material

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

to work hard, but the assignments were not impossible to comptete

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 0 0 0 0 0

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 0 0 0 0

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	

3

University of Vermont	Instructor: Yau
Department of English	Course No. Eng S
	Course Title: <u>Cau</u>
	Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

value of what you learned.)

Uctures were a bit boring. We read too

Many books, I also felt the like it is

extremely difficult to do an essay the

Welk before finals. (was a little too

Much to handle, along to reaching a book

B doing a blog entry at the same time.

Should maybe cut down amount of books, that you

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; students interactions with students in class; quality of the instructor; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

He's a vice guy Paul is Very reasonable,

Caus a lot about has students. Usually

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I tried hard on them usay to felt like I deserved a higher glade.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 0 0 0 0

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

then you could focus

- 0 1 2 3 4 5

Closely on Closely on Coch DOOK Nisted of Nisted of Nisted of Nisted of Nisted of

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	00000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	80000

Course Evaluation	
Department of English Cours Cours	se No. Canadjan Italahure se Title: ENG 180 ter: Fall 2010
Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, cactivities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your value of what you learned.)	r work over the semester; the
I enjoyed most of the book but I thought we read in the amount of time we didn't get deep at all is and wouldy only talk themes rather than the if we had read less & disc	for too many, re had. We is our discussions, and about the main content. I think
Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feed availability outside class.)	or's preparation for class; Name dback on your work; and been better.
Paul loves what he does is an ethusiastic teacher have felt better if he wi into greater detail als example his expectation	es and s, but I would sould have gove sout things, for

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

e) I tried as best I could to keep y with the readings; I think my esting improved after meet-ing wy Paul

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	0000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	80000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University	of	Ver	mont
Departmen	ıt o	f Er	ıglish

Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. 150
Course Title: Canadian Literature
Semester:

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

When I had time to fully read the novels for the class, I really enjoyed them.

Part is a great glay person, atthough

I found myself getting really bored during the lectures. It was mostly lecture based, and I would have liked more Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; group,

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; which interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Paul is a wesome! He's very understanding unen you need an extension or something.

Although, he's great, Faul.

He always seemed prepared For class, and was always fast to reply to an email.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I didn't read every book. It's hard to stay on top of the reading, when you have a lot of work to do ' it other classes.

 \mathbf{O}

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year	College	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	•0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. 180

Course Title: <u>Camadian Li</u>d

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Reading selections were engaging & easy to read. I enjoy reading modern fiction so I was more motivated to do the reading! Group work was good but didn't always work out the best, many people were behind on reading but I think it was a good way to debrief and a nice change from the usual discussions.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Always prepared, maybe sometimes overly prepared! Provided relevant & meaningful feedback on papers which I appreciated. Loved having a rubric to follow!

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Edcation (CE)	0 0 0 0 0 0	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	• 0000

University o	of Vermont
Department	of English

Instructor: Paw Martin Course No. 90131
Course Title: Can LR
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

This course was exallent and the readings were very well chosen and well placed within the timescall of the course, however, some class discussions seemed ill prepared.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

The instructor is always friendly and knowledgable with a good grosp on technology but seems somewhat scatter branched at times

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Work and well prepared

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

000000

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	,
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	00000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	×0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	XOXOO

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Mardin Course No. 5NGS 180
Course Title: Canadian Lit
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Class discussions were informative and the blog was a good way to shore ideas.

onth suggestion would be more inclass group work; The times we did to group work we worked well and learned from each other.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Lectures were interesting and the structure of the course was solid.

Prof. Hartin was understanding and vave great Reedback on papers.

Basically Davi was the man...

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

out of respect for prof. I put in sold work and wanted to blo my best.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	80000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	\$0808

University	of V	erm.	ont
Departmer	ıt of	Engl	ish

Course No. __ Course Title: Canadian Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I enjoyed de books ne verd very much.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Good, good feedback or spaded assignments.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Lots of realing. Not many papers but blog Rept we current on material.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

part.

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: MWAN, Paul
Course No. EMS 180
Course Title: Canadan Werahine

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I feel like this class was a reverge on my high school, where I door very little rending. I was reading from novels for this class in much of my spire time this semester. Aside from the fast pace of reading, the course was completely manageable. I learned a lot about Canada, literature, and teaching surprisingly, which was nice as an Edveation major.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Always prepared, always Grandly with constructive criticism, great depth of knowledge, and always in full communication with the class. Either the time of day (after lines), or the room, or Prof. Martin's Calm voice seemed to make me sleepy every day.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

This English class was more for than other literative courses, but I do my best in all courses, if they are engaging, as this one was. I read all of the books...

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 4.5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5

Sorry, no parfect scores!

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	:
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	00000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	XOXXO

University	of V	/ermo	nt
Departmen			

Instructor: Martin
Course No. Engs 180
Course Title: Landon literature
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

This course had the most reading out of any course I have taken. We read 8 novels, as I have taken a lot.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

times he can be too unsegmented. I believe to bould be beneficial to know the prompts for our essays while we cover the material. Also, he is overly critical in grading papers especially when paired with the loose style of teaching the material.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the

this course, I completed the assigned that and participated in class often.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	00000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	00000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. ENG 180
Course Title: Canadian Lit.

1

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The readings were all great and the blog promps were reasonable. Could have had more apportunities for different work types - projects etc.

Overall a very good course. Learned a lot about canada!

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Very Knowledgable about the literature also gives great background on events of the era discussed in the novel. Always prepared, knows when his class is becoming overwhelmed and adapts accordingly.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Had to work hard to keep up with the reading. Group + class discussions were well executed.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	•

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	00000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: PAUL MARTIN
Course No. 180

Course Title: CANA DIAN LIT

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

HOWESTLY, THIS IS ONE OF THE WORST ENGLISH
COVESES I'VE TAKEN, AND I'VE TAKEN A LOT OF
ENGLISH. PEADING PACE WAS HUDGIED & THE BOOKS
WERE ALL NEDWORKE AT BEST. UNFORTUNATELY,
CLASS DISCUSSION SUFFERED AS A RESULT.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

PAUL IS A GREAT GOY, WHICH IS WHY
I HEEL BAD PIPPING ON THIS CLASS DISCUSSIONS
I'VE GOTTA BE HONGET. CLASS DISCUSSIONS
SOCKED. PAUL'S GO TO QUESTION IS, "HOW
DID THIS MAKE YOU FEEL."

SDRBY, BUT THAT DOESN'T CUT IT.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I PUT IN THE ANOUNT OF REQUIRED WOOLK TO SUCCEED BUT I WAS UNINSPIRED

THROUGHPUT.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

0 0 0 0

Year	College	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	• 0000

University	of '	Vermont
Departmen	t o	f English

Instructor: <u>Paul Martin</u>
Course No. <u>180</u>
Course Title: <u>Canadian</u> 40

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Reading material was excellent (minus the hockey book) Class discussion was trepful. Sometimes readings well hard to stay on top of assignments were bair. Blog posteries were OK. Challenging but fair.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

enstructor was great, one of the best die had. Closs was enteresting, professor was knowledgable. Grading was a letter househ considering the effort it put into papers. Would recommend class - instructor

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.) My written work was excluded in my openion to under graceded. I could not have put make effort unto this course.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	0 0 0	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business	00000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	00000
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	0		

University	of V	ermon	t
Departmer	ıt of	Englis	h

Instructor: Paul Martin Canadian Course No. ENGS 131 LITERATURA Course Title:

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the

value of what you learned.)
Interesting course-first canadian studies-type class I've
I taken getting through (especially
The Sawchinck Poems). It hink that instead of choosing 8-9
books from the last 5 years, the class should take 8-9
excellent books from the last 25 years. A lot of these
contemporary books were repetitive and did not
seem like good literature. I could have definitely
been un one intellection.

been more intellectually challenged the books he read.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

The instructor definitely seemed interested in the topics the could have challenged us more and stimulated us more in terms of discussion.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

"I liked doing the blog postings + most of the books were quick keads. Liked that we read so many because when writing papers there were a lot of options I thing is to choose from.

1

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	90000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: AUL WAM
Course No. AWAND
Course Title: CAWAOLOM FILE COURSE
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

really enjoyed the selection of pooks. The class i really enjoyed the selection of pooks. The class was known of large and was held in a room not suited for discussion. We didn't discuss the noves on a very deep level, and i'm not sure it it is due to the nature of the pooks or the class. I liked the pooks because of the powers are source statements they made more than their literary ment.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

He is a very smart and nice person. sometimes he is a bit disorgenized. Though, and were always available in his obside outside of creas when I needed to swedule an apparintment. Hard time figure out if he is prepared or not.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

l read all of the pooks save the Sauchuk poems. and did all of the blog prompts. The reading was enjoyede so the workload seemed light, although it wasn't.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0

0 1 2 3 4 5

 \mathbf{O}

Year	College	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	O Arts and Sciences (CAS) O Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) O Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) O Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. __186__ Course Title: Can-Lit. Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the

this clan differed from my other english clanses in that the reading was all novels I could relate too, that were fast to read & easy to comprehend. I werer tourd it of hard to keep up if the work it is liked the books (except for the birst two) I learned more awar campda than laver know, but some of the lectures were a bit unstimulating. I liked the author-intervieus & videos, but sometimes ble we read so much, we did not so in depth on any important issues in the book. I would recommend 5-6 books instead of 7-8 simply so al contalkabout them more.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Prof. math is extremely approachable, he always has time to talk I works. I our schedules. Some turnes the lectures about ordall "themes" were repetitive. I wish there was another was to approach the novels but it was must not his fault. the bovely anyone in the class paricipated soit made it hard to have interesting talks, even when ive was enthusiastic to Trued to set people to talk.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

kept up of the readily & posted on all the bloss on time. I feet it was easy to participate b/c I toud the books enjoyable.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0	1	2	3	4	5
O	0	0	0	0	9

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Martin
Course No. Engs 180
Course Title: Topics in can, Lit
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

This course was interesting, however, I feel like it was at too quick a Pace. I also wish class had been more like a book group with students working off from each other instead of responding to a question asked and then moving on. some of the books were very boring making it harder to get through them.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

You seem really nice, and the background for the books was very helpful.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I found it hard to keep up with the reading because of the short time to complete the entire Book. I got behind a few times because of work I had to do in other classes.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

0 0 0 0 0

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science	00000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	
		Continuing Ed.	0		

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: <u>Faul Martin</u> - Course No. 180
Course Title: <u>Conaction Lit</u>
Semester: <u>Fall</u> 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Treatly really enjoyed the books we need to read for this course.

Every new book we read became my new favorite book of the moment and I talked my poor roomments ear off about how much renjoyed reading the novels chosen. It was on a we some and diverse selection and books I will definately be re-reading in the future.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

I thought the instructor war great; he always had a good question to ask the class to aid in discussion and I absolutely love the his touter in books (but this course anyway). It was all around a great class environment.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I'm not a very talkative person in general, but Ididmy bet to contribute to group and class discussions, and I would consider the quality of my written work to be pretty deant. I received what I thought Ideserved for my first paper, especially because I only wrote I copy... oops. I attended pretty much every class though and enjoyed being here.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0 0 0 0 0 0	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	80000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	90990

University of V	ermont
Department of	English

Instructor: <u>GUI Martin</u>
Course No. <u>ENG 18D</u>
Course Title: <u>Canadian Literature</u>
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I enjoyed the course very much! Never a dull class with Paul and he knows now to keep us engaged. I think the work load could have been a little lighter, but I thoroughly enjoyed all of the novels we keed.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Paul is a fantastic teacher Nendsdown! He is always prepared and comes to class excited to teach. He has great insights and analyses each work in avery helpful way. Everyone seems to enjoy naving him as our professor.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

This course new a nearly workload. I think that it might even be too much work for one semester. Having to read (basically) one book a week is tough I while judgling all of our other classes.

Please rate this course on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year	College	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Edcation (CE)	O College requirement O Interest in subject matter Fits schedule	0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Val Maki
Course No. 180
Course Title: Canadia- Lif

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I felt like while the sobject matter was fairly intriguing at times, we really didn't delve into it too deeply. Our discussions never parted too be from initial reactions to the material. I fest like if would have geen much more fillilling to really look at a book for a bit, rether than power through I a week.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

I feel like often there was not a lot of class prop that went into this class. We would just sit and talk off the wiff about a book. I don't really feel like I got much that I wouldn't have sotten from reading the books on anyown, except for a huge end of the senester crunch time w/ the first paper and exam.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I actually did end up reading all the books as well as putting a bit of Give who the paper (s). I feel like Name was more time showsted on my end Stran the professors. The esset subjected 2004.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	90990

University	of V	ermo	nt
Departmen	ıt of	Engli	ısh

Instructor: Mul Martin Course No. English 188 Course Title: Canadian Liberture

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The couse was retere interesting

I man out (1 Coso

Slowfer booles 10

real or ross titles

so tat up call

a center books. Class was

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

engasing

engagins and tasht

was generally very

ti Jugglen

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

T put work you might consider the quality of your written work; your commitment to the course.)

the essays was in the balls

Analyzing the texts

class benticibration may nost of

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	00000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. ENG-180 A
Course Title: Canadian Lit

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The class was good and many of the novers we read were interesting. There were a few that I didn't really like margn. I enjoyed tearning about canadian history as it applied to the literature me mene veading. The reading load was a little heavy, as many of the novers mene pretty long, but the blog assignments were fair and manageable

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

the instruction was very fair and enjoyable to nave the also seems very passionate about topics in canadian interations. He gave good feedback on the essays and was available to contact autistic of class when I had questions.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

readings and veneral very hard on the assignments and essays as well

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year	College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)		
MA Cont. Ed	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Edcation (CE)	00000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	80000	

University	of	V	ermont	
Departmer	ıt o	f	English	

Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. Eng. 180 (A) Course Title: (anodian Lit.

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Although class discussions Nectures were often interesting, the readings themselves I did not kind particularly Fosinating. Since that is a personal opinion on the content of the noves I would still say that actual discussions phoved to be more engaging.

the length of the novels and number we read over the semister did seem quite

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Prox martin did seem well prepared for class and did a good job of letting the students had many of the discussions. He aways answered questions and considered everyones opinions. Blogs were a great I dea too.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.) I did not participate as of the

engaged in the readings but I did Put a engaged in the readings but I did Put a not not of effort into the writing involved.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O 6 O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Edcation (CE)	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. ENGS 180
Course Title: Canadian Literature
Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

This course was very interesting as it goes outside ordinary literary works. Being able to read books that were written in the past 5 years was much easier to relate. Discussions were also interesting because Professor Martin enjoys hearing everyone's opinion. Activities included getting together with classmates and talking about different Themes.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Professor Markin was well prepared throughout the semester and takes pride in the subject his teaching. Feed back on wark was average to because I'm unaware of meny grades I might have

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Reading was very intense and I'd say This was the majority of work. He encourages class discussion which makes the class interesting.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 0 0 0 0 0

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	00000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	00000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Pau Maron

Course No. <u>180</u>

Course Title: CANADIAN LIT

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

THERE WAS NO CONCLUSIVE EVALUATIONS OF THE
THEMES & MOTIFS IN ANY TEXT. ALL WORK WAS
INCREDIBLY UNCHALLENGING AND THEREFORE UNMOTIVATING, IT
WAS A STRUGGLE TO COME TO CLASS EVERYDAY SINCE
"CLASS DISCUSSIONS" CENTERED ON "WHAT WE THOUGHT"
OF EACH TEXT WITH ABSOLUTELY NO DIRECTION.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

DR. MARTIN. DIDN'T EVEN HAVE A COMPLETED SYLLABUS ON DAY ONE OF CLASS. HE SEEMED CONSISTENTLY UNPREPARED WITH "LESSON PLANS" AMOUNTING TO EITHER (1) DISCUSSING OUR FEELINGS OF THE READING OR (2) BREAKING INTO GROUPS AND DISCUSSING OUR FEELINGS OF THE READING

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I CAME TO EVERY CLASS BUT LAGGED BEHIND IN THE READING DUE TO THE UNNOTIVATING NATURE OF THE CLASS

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 • • • • • • • • • •

Year		College	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University of Vermont Department of English

Instructor:	aul Marti	in	TOPICS	IN
Course No.	End - 130	CAN	4DIAN	LIT
Course Title:				
Semester:	Fall 2	010		

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Overall I really enjoyed this course. I, for the most part, enjoyed the literature we read, and really enjoyed the casual feeling of the class. The pace was a little fast, but I think it was necessary in order to balance out the otherwise limited amount of work beyond reading.

9 out 10 for course awesomeness.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Paul Martin, you are awesome! Such a kind, charas Matic, friendly guy. Always in a great mood, and enthusiastic about what you're saying as well as what your students are saying.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I regret that I didn't put as much into this class as I could have. I always listened in class and learned a lot, but wish I could have spoken more. My own laziness is as fault.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

the appropriate through the following and appropriate the propriate

and the state of the state of

and the second of the second of

 $\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{2}$, $\mathbf{3}$, $\mathbf{4}$, $\mathbf{5}$, $\mathbf{6}$, $\mathbf{6}$, $\mathbf{6}$

			2 -		
Year		College			
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	0 0 0 0 0 0	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

per les lesquies graphits in it. In the State of the gange

Alignor of the remarks of the only a solve

The first of the part of the same of the same of the

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Dr. Part Martin
Course No. 180
Course Title: Canadian Lit

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I enjoyed that this course wasn't "stuck in the past" and we were able to read modern works. The class addressed some deep linvolved subject matter. I would prefer a more diverse mix of texts, however. We read too many serious draines that had a sense of arthouse pretentiousness to them. The blog postings were a nuisance and my least favorite part of the course.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Dr. Mortin is very likable and engaging, a lthough can be prone to dwelling on one topic too long (history lectures seemed to be the most affected). The turn-around time on work was slown but the Feedback was high gunlify. Dr. Martin is more receptive to student ideas than average so the class was more enjoyable.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Perfectly average.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Year	College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	00000

University of Vermont Department of English

Instructor: <u>1</u>	whenvi	Martin
Course No	F	
Course Title:		
Semester:	Fall	2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Interesting that its Topics in Canadian Lit. and Professor Morting takes the literity of choosing diff topics every semester. I think that is quest but him glad he e-minded us about his intention of severing only contemporary works before the class, because it was certainly noticially. I liked seading new works but they guit evique (to my expirence of lit.) and somewhat repetative in mination style. Yet I also feel we had as much divisity as possible which him quatiful for.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and

availability outside class.)

always prepared for class, not necessarily going above + beyond ("wowing" me) we especially imagether points, but certainly supportive of students opinions + did encourage deeper mivestigation to a deeper also the books we read weren't especially complex or phiniptically such to begin with.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Read all the books + contributed to duminors + diel all ports—

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	0	Arts and Sciences	6 2	Major/minor	1
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	0
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	0
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	0
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0		
		,		1	•

University	of V	ermont
Departmen	ıt of	English

Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. ENG-180
Course Title: Canadian Lit

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Exallent reading list. Very much liked the mix of male /female, urban/mal, authors. The books /cept getting better - nice thought given to flow of syllebrus. Also liked that they were all in last 5 years. Not crany about TBA option. Overall thought there was too much student - driven content in this class.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Very affake, approachable encouraging. But cl was insight + itistussion-ending of the hoping for more from the lectures and he often seemed underprepared from the lectures and he often clother for wished for more challenge and direction overall from him

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I worked herd, but admit I may have worked harder if I felt that deallines and effort, were enjoyed more.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O X O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	×0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin

Course No. <u>90131</u>

Course Title: ENG 186 Canadian Lit

1

Semester: Fall 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The methods that prof. Martin uses are very beneficial to me as a student. Our closs discussions about the reading matierial makes me want to come to class. Also, the books that he has chosen are very good and I consider one of them as my so favorite novel. The lectures are very excellent because Paul can make your attact your aftention with his deep thoughts.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

I would rank Paul as and my best and favorite teacher. He is very intelligent and makes me want to hear his lectures. I always look forwards to the books he assigns.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I put in a good affort. I had a below average semester overall so that made all my work suffer. However, I wish to have another class with paul where I can do much better.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5

Sand Sand Care Commence Sand Commence Commence

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Company of the contract of the

Live to the second of the seco

0 1 2 3 4 5

marginal of the second of

0 0 0 0 0

Year		College	ť	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	× 000000	Arts and Sciences (CAS) Education & Social Services (CESS) Nursing & Health Sciences (CNHS) Natural Resources (RSENR) Engineering & Math (CEMS) Business (BSAD) Agriculture & Life Science (CALS) Continuing Education (CE)	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

and the second of the second o