University of Vermont Department of English Course Evaluation Summary

Instructor: Martin

Course Number: ENGS 005 I

Title: TAP:Canada's Cultural Lndscpe

English

Dept. n = 1641Individual n = 18Semester: Fall 2010

Please rate this course on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent

0	1	2	3	4	5	No Response
0%	1%	2%	16%	40%	34%	7%
0%	0%	6%	0%	56%	33%	6%
	0%	0 1 0% 1%	0 1 2 0% 1% 2%	0 1 2 3 0% 1% 2% 16%	0 1 2 3 4 0% 1% 2% 16% 40%	0 1 2 3 4 5 0% 1% 2% 16% 40% 34%

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent

	0	1	2	3	4	5	No Response
Dept. %	0%	0%	2%	9%	30%	52%	7%
Indiv. %	0%	0 %	0%	6%	11%	78%	6%

 Year
 College
 Reason

 Dept.
 Indiv.
 College
 Dept.
 Indiv.
 Reason
 Dept.

 36%
 94%
 A&S
 67%
 89%
 Maj/Min
 31%

Year	Dept.	Indiv.	College	Dept.	inaiv.	Keason	Dept.	maiv.
1	36%	94%	A&S	67%	89%	Maj/Min	31%	6%
2	27%	0%	Education	7%	0%	College Req	40%	33%
3	16%	0%	Allied Health	3%	0%	Interest	46%	89%
4	13%	00%	Nat. Resources	2%	0%	Schedule	17%	17%
MA	0%	0%	Math/Eng	1%	0%	Other	5%	11%
CE	1%	0%	Business	4%	0%	No Response	7%	6%
Other	0%	0%	Ag & Life Sciences	7%	0%			
No 7% Response	7%	6%	CE	0%	0%			
			No Response	8%	11%			,

^{*}in the fall semester of 2010 the SGA called for a boycott of evaluations and urged the student body to NOT fill in numerical portions of evaluations.

•	
	•
	•
	•
	•
	•
	-

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Markin
Course No. Engs 005
Course Title: 2 the la Rectional

Course Title: Poly to Parliament Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The course itself was interesting, especially the portions from the ispaces and the names are grad. However, three hours of class can be ticsome and difficult to sit through. We covered a broad range of topics, and the covered mas challenging without being over bearing.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Paul is a great professor! He is easy to approach and aways sulpful with class assignments. Paul is unsweapenble about the subject, but also has a great sense of humbrard brings a let of energy to the class.

Ourall, the best professor I've had so fer.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Overall, I put a lot of time into this class and the classwork. However, sometimes I would miss readings, just because they where long.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	00000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. ENOS-005

Course Title: From Puchs to Parliament

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I found the class very informative and has increased my interest on the subject. The homework was all relevant to the course and the lectures throroghly discussed what we read. The class was challenging because the amount of work given every week.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Professor Martin was an excellent instructor, his subject was interesting, he taught it well and enthusiastically. It would have been more nelpful if he could get our grades back to us soon,

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I don't feel like my work changed anyway.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	2	Arts and Sciences	•	Major/minor	0
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	0
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	9
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	0
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0		

University of V	ermont
Department of	English

Course No. 005

Course Title: pucks to Parliament

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I really liked this course. Faul mortin made it interesting with his enthusiasm and friendliness. I rearned a lot about canada. The only things I would change would be the rength of the class - 3 hours in one sitting is a bit much. I would also encourage paul to have more discussions than rectures.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

paul martin is an excellent professor. He is always in a good mood and enthusiaestic about his class. He is very approachable than inside and outside of class.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

we had very interesting assignments like podcasts and blog posts which I liked.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	8000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	8000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	00000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: <u>Paul Martin</u>
Course No. <u>005</u>

Course Title: Pucks to Parliament

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I thought the readings we did for this course were interesting and informative. I liked listening to the playlists on the ipods supplied by the department. I really didn't feel challenged, though. I thought that we would be doing a lot more witing. We only wrote one formal paper. For a six supposedly "witing-intensive" course I think this is completly unacceptable.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Professor Paul Martin was engaging and fun. He clearly communicated his knowledge with us. He didn't always wealth of Unfortunally,

arrive on time to class. His feedback was somewhat limited, it was really positive, but not very instructive. I would have been more critical.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I spent a lot of time reading, but not much time withing. I participated actively in class.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Boycott

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

- 0 1 2 3 4 5
- 0 0 0 0 0

Boycott

Year	000	College	වලි	.ps!	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	•	Arts and Sciences		6	Major/minor	0
2	9	Education		•	College requirement	0
3		Allied Health		Ø	Interest in subject matter	0
4	©	Nat. Resources		(Fits schedule	0
MA	(4)	Math/Engineering		(Other	0
Cont. Ed	6	Business		•		
Other	6	Agriculture/Life Science				
		Continuing Ed.		•		

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: PAUL W. MARLTIN

Course No. ENGS 005

Course Title: FROM DUCKS TO PARUMENT

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

the course allowed me to expand my knowledge on Conadian culture and all the information I learned about Conadian especies of everything is interesting and every discussion was fascinaling.

I only wish we had written more papers instead of reading a lot.

Going to Canada was the best part of the course as he was able to show us the greatness of Canada, not just tell us.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Professor martin has been my favorite professor here so for the is very knowledgeable of Canada Determine and he teaches the class very well. He is always available to help and answer questions freely. I love him. I hope to have him as a professor again.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I have written by best work in this class. Although I hardly spoke in the class I was always listening as he always hooked me in with his great lectures.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	69	Arts and Sciences	S	Major/minor	0
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	⊕
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	1
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	6
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0		

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Martin
Course No. ENGS 005
Course Title: Pucks to Parliament
Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The course was very informative about Canadlan government, economics, and culture. The course was not as writing intensive as I had hoped, with only one real composition, I feel my essay-writing stills did not improve as much as they could have, I do feel the course was very intellectually stimulating, due to class discussions based on assigned readings,

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

The professor was very knowledgable. Class discussions were very stimulating. There was not a lot of feedback on work, He was always welcoming outside of class.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I put a lot of reading into the cluss. I seek the evally of my contribution to discussions was good, Walten work was standard, but not amazing,

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

:.

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	8	Arts and Sciences	0	Major/minor	0
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	@
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	Ø
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	Ø
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
'Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0		

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. <u>Fng 005</u>
Course Title: <u>Packs to Pauliamen</u>t
Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.) I enjoy how it didn't feel just like an English class, but more like history, sociology, english, and discussion all wrapped up into one. I also loved the Canada trip,

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.) I like how he interacts with us and he's so enthusiastic about what he teaches.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I do a lot of reading and prepare for discussion in class.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	• 000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	• 0 0 0 0 0 0	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0

University of Vermont	
Department of English	

Instructor: PAUL MARTIN Course No. <u>005</u>

Course Title: PL(KS to PAVOLIMENT

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.) The NOVELS WERE GIZENT THE RESOURCH

was very content nearly

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Amesonie! Very nice, respectful, helpful, & friendly. Very easy to get in contact with & always excited about the heaterial

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the

Loved the Mogs. Girlat may to rearen about oppose the country as a whole. The text book was very content heavy & drey, sometimes the chapters

scelled to arag on

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year '		College	,	Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	•	Arts and Sciences	0	Major/minor	$ \circ $
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	(3)
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	6
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	9
MA	O -	Math/Engineering	0	Other	$ \circ $
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0		

University of Vermont Department of English	Instructor: Pay Martin Course No. Engs OOS Course Title: 7465 to Partiament Semester: FALL 2010
Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class reactivities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes value of what you learned.) Nove(5 were Lense and dry.	in your work over the semester; the Compelling, Text books Films were interesting.
Field trip we	15 anazing,
enthysiastic about and is really sus	on; feedback on your work; and codible. He is so
Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You me written work; your contributions to group and class discussions) There were a has a significant and fun to the course.)	ussions; and your commitment to the

Please rate this $\it course$ on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this instructor on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

 $0 \qquad 1 \qquad 2 \qquad 3 \qquad 4 \qquad 5$

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	• 000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	• 0 0 0 0 0 0	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University	of V	⁷ ermont
Departmen	t of	English

Instructor: Paul Mantin
Course No. Eng 005
Course Title: Paul to Parlignent

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

The course was really good and I enjoyed it.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Punl Martin is a great profession and I learned a lot.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I blogged, and I wrote in paper

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1		Arts and Sciences	0	 Major/minor	
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	0
3	O .	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	•
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	0
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	O .		

University of Vermont
Department of English

Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. 00 5 Course Title: BACKS to Parliament Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the

value of what you learned.) I've really enjoyed this course overall, I knew nothing about Canada and now I feel I understand tox more about it than I had. I disliked how it was 3 hours once a night though.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Everything about Paul Martins oncerning concerning top notch. My only compliant is that it takes awnile for him to give you grade feedback

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the

I feel that I put a lot of effort for this course especially in class discussions.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed	000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	• 0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Yan Martin
Course No. ENGS 005
Course Title: Pucks to Parliament

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

This cause was very different from what I originally expected. Coming into this class from an A.P. Est cause of my high School I anticipated that It was going to be like a semester of A.P. Est just through a Canadian unse. The cause brand up being much more of a social science like "this is earlada". Vinvolving it's history, withre, the Because of this we didn't what nearly as bush Canadian literature, or do as much writing the I was expecting.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

I thought that given it's size, the class would not be dilly before consented. It was However, Paul was extremely appreachable, friendly, and indepstanding. He was and a very pleasent professor.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I wish that the class had been more semi-pair-labe and had allowed students to interevact more with one another Because of this I wasn't involved nearly as much as I would have vited.

Please rate this course on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	•	Arts and Sciences	®	Major/minor	0
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	0
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	6
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	0
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0		

University	of V	⁷ ermont
Departmen	t of	English

Instructor: Paul W. Martin Course No. Engs 005 Course Title: Pucks to Parliment Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

this was a great class I very much enjoyed everything that we did. Our class was always informative and Fun, the course was both intellectually challenging and intriguing

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Paul is tre best, if he was Americans

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I put alot of work into this and enjoyed in thoroughly

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 0 0 0 0 0

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	\$ 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	0000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin

Course No. <u>English ocs</u>

Course Title: <u>Paules to Par</u>

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

rendings were interesting with a smort
discussion after. Learnel a lot more about
Canada in a attacal point than I could ever
by the just reading a book

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

He is great a noteractory and knowns

He muterial well, and the standed

I commed how

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I pul good work;

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O Ø

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1- 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	• 000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	00000

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. Figlish as
Course Title: Pucks to the liament
Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

A really good course. Interesting material that I never would be learned in another class. Jectures were informative and the projects were really hun and more interesting and interactive than any other projects I've done (ex: blog, podcast)

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Pro. Martin is a really nice person. He's considerate and helpful and really got to know all the students. Really knowledgable in the matterial.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

Reading everyweek, ipod playlises - weekly blogs

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1 2 3 4 MA Cont. Ed Other	% 000000	Arts and Sciences Education Allied Health Nat. Resources Math/Engineering Business Agriculture/Life Science Continuing Ed.	*0000000	Major/minor College requirement Interest in subject matter Fits schedule Other	○ X X ○ X

University	of V	Vermont	
Departmen	ıt of	English	

Instructor: Paul Martin
Course No. English 205
Course Title: Pucks to lariumnt
Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

I really enjoyed the class. I really en joyed all the poems about hockey? the book Three day lead. My fewente portwethe trip to Ottawa. I learned alot about parament? Canadian culture

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Paul Mortin was alway very orgaging and you could tell he loved teaching this class

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I always trivel to do my best ? participate

Please rate this course on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

 $0 \qquad \qquad 1 \qquad \qquad 2 \qquad \qquad 3 \qquad \qquad 4 \qquad \qquad 5$

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	
1	6	Arts and Sciences	©	Major/minor	(a)
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	0
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	0
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	0
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0		
	l l	_	1		1

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin Course No. Engo 005

Course Title: PUCK 5 to Par Warment

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Lots of material was covered, and of it was relevant to the class

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

The instructor was always prepared and knowledgeable. He was also very available outside of class.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I put a fair amount of work end effort into the closs,

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year College Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply) Arts and Sciences Major/minor O College requirement Interest in subject matter 0 Education 0 0 3 Allied Health \mathbf{o} \mathbf{c} **(4)** \mathbf{O} Nat. Resources \mathbf{o} Fits schedule 0 Math/Engineering MA 0 0 Other 0 Cont. Ed Business 0 0 Other 0 Agriculture/Life Science 0 Continuing Ed. 0

University of Vermont Department of English Instructor: Paul Martin

Course No. Fno 001

Course Title: Pucks to Patlianent

Semester: FALL 2010

Please evaluate the course. (You might consider class readings, discussions, lectures, and activities; the intellectual challenge of the course; changes in your work over the semester; the value of what you learned.)

Loved this course! The trip to Ottawa was such a wonderful fun and educational experience. I would enjoy doing more movie watching or group discussion during class. Also, a study guide for the exam would have really helped me.

Please evaluate the instructor. (You might consider the instructor's preparation for class; interactions with students in class; quality of the instruction; feedback on your work; and availability outside class.)

Paul is awasome. He is approachable and really cares about the students and the course topic.

Please evaluate the work you put into this course. (You might consider the quality of your written work; your contributions to group and class discussions; and your commitment to the course.)

I put in a decent amount of group and written

work.

Please rate this *course* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Please rate this *instructor* on a scale where 0 = unsatisfactory, 3 = average, and 5 = excellent:

0 1 2 3 4 5 O O O O O

Year		College		Reason for Taking This Course (Mark All That Apply)	,
1	69	Arts and Sciences	②	Major/minor	0
2	0	Education	0	College requirement	0
3	0	Allied Health	0	Interest in subject matter	•
4	0	Nat. Resources	0	Fits schedule	0
MA	0	Math/Engineering	0	Other	0
Cont. Ed	0	Business	0		
Other	0	Agriculture/Life Science	0		
		Continuing Ed.	0	·	